

LAFCO • The Local Agency Formation Commission
Serving the Area of San Luis Obispo County



TO: MEMBERS, FORMATION COMMISSION
FROM: PAUL HOOD, LAFCO EXECUTIVE OFFICER ^{PLH}
DATE: SEPTEMBER 17, 2009
SUBJECT: FINAL RESULTS FOR THE PROTEST HEARING OF THE HALCYON ESTATES ANNEXATION NO. 1-R-09

COMMISSIONERS

DAVID L. BROOKS
CHAIR, Special District
Member

DUANE PICANCO
Vice-Chair,
City Member

K.H. "KATCHO" ACHADJIAN
County Member

BRUCE GIBSON
County Member

BARBARA MANN
Special District Member

Richard Roberts
Public Member

ALLEN SETTLE
City Member

ALTERNATES

ED EBY
Special District Member

TOM MURRAY
Public Member

JAMES R. PATTERSON
County Member

KRIS VARDAS
City Member

STAFF

PAUL L. HOOD
Executive Officer

RAYMOND A. BIERING
Legal Counsel

DAVID CHURCH
Deputy Executive Officer

DONNA J. BLOYD
Commission Clerk

Recommendation: It is respectfully recommended that the Commission receive and file this report.

Discussion: On August 20, 2009 at 8:30 a.m. in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 1055 Monterey Street, County Government Center, San Luis Obispo, California the Executive Officer (EO) of San Luis Obispo LAFCO conducted the Protest (Conducting Authority) Hearing pursuant to the Cortese/Knox/Hertzberg Act for the Halcyon Estates Annexation to the Oceano Community Services District (OCSD). This action was delegated to the EO by the Commission under Government Code Section 57000(c). This was the date, time and place of a hearing for the submittal of written or oral protests from registered voters and/or property owners within the annexation boundary area.

A total of five written protests from registered voters were submitted to LAFCO prior to the hearing. No property owners submitted written protests. The following table lists the Written Protests received from registered voters and calculates the results:

WRITTEN PROTESTS - REGISTERED VOTERS	
Total Registered Voters Within the Annexation Area:	79
Total written protests received:	5
Percent of registered voters protesting annexation:	6.3%

The number of registered voters protesting the annexation did not reach 25% and no written protests from property owners were submitted. Pursuant to Government Code Section 57075 (a)(3), the annexation is approved, subject to the conditions of approval.